360

ED ANICARITTAL	Application Number	10/625,235	
MANSMITTAL	Filing Date	uly 22, 2003	
SEP 2 9 2006 (m) FORM	First Named Inventor	eter Malcolm Moran	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2132	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Farid Homayounmehr	
Mail Stop Amendment	Attorney Docket Number	79030-001	
· ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
No fee due ☐ Terminal Disclaimer   Fee (s) due: \$		Revocation of & New Power of Attorney,     Address Indication Form Request for Refund After Allowance Communication to a     Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of     Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal     Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Other Enclosure(s):	
REMARKS			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or Individual Name (print/type) Carol A. Schneider, Ph.D., J.D., Reg. No. 34,923 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.			(650) 251-7700
Signature Carollaganider		Date	September 27, 2006
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below			
Name (print/type) Yesenia Garcia			
Signature MSCNLQ WW	Date	September 27, 2006	

Application No. 10/625,235
Response dated September 27, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated August 22, 2006



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Peter Malcolm Moran et al.

Confirmation No.: 8617

Serial No.: 10/625,235

Group Art Unit: 2132

Filing Date: July 22, 2003

Examiner: Farid Homayounmehr

Title: METHOD OF IDENTIFYING AN OBJECT AND A TAG CARRYING

**IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION** 

## RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is being filed in response to the Requirement for Restriction mailed August 22, 2006, in which the Examiner required restriction between two groups of claims:

- (I) claims 1-18, 23, 25-26, 29 and 31, drawn to use of magnetic particles for encoding information in an identification card; and
- (II) claims 19-22, 24, 27-28, 30 and 32, drawn to transmission of an electric signal from an active source to authenticate data or data to determine if an object or document is valid, or the identity of an individual method in wireless radio communication.

In response to this restriction, applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-18, 23, 25-26, 29 and 31 with traverse. The examiner has made this restriction based on group I being a combination and group II being a subcombination of group I. Applicants disagree with this analysis. There is a single, common, unifying structural feature associated with the two groups of claims, namely, the use of a disordered porous material. More specifically, Group I specifies the step of determining a characteristic of a magnetic signal and Group II specifies the step of determining a characteristic of an electric/electromagnetic signal. Both types of signals are

Atty Dkt No. 79030-001 Client No. P100109

Application No. 10/625,235 Response dated September 27, 2006 Response to Restriction Requirement dated August 22, 2006

derived from a common structural, and thus unifying, feature of a "host material having pores ... wherein at least some of the pores contain a [relevant] material." Therefore, both groups of claims share a common concept of using randomly arranged pores that contain a material with a readable characteristic to produce unique identification information.

Applicants therefore request removal of the restriction requirement. In the event that prosecution occurs on one of the Groups of claims identified by the examiner, applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 USC § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the nonelected subject matter during the pendency of this application.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this communication, or would like to discuss the application, the art, or other pertinent matters, he is welcome to contact the undersigned attorney at (650) 251-7702 or caschneider@mintz.com.

Applicants believe that no fee is required for this filing, as the examiner has given a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 months. This reply is being filed within 3 months of the mailing date (8/22/2006) of the restriction requirement. If a fee is required, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 18-0580.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 27, 2006

By:

Carol A. Schneider, Ph.D., J.D.

Reg. No. 34,923 1400 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Phone 650 251 7700

Fax 650 251 7739